0960-894X/95 \$9.50+0.00

0960-894X(95)00476-9

## Phenyl-Glycinol Based $NK_1$ Receptor Antagonists - Towards the Minimum Pharmacophore

A.P. Owens\*, B.J. Williams, T. Harrison, C.J. Swain, R. Baker, S. Sadowski§ and M.A. Cascieri§.

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Neuroscience Research Centre, Merck Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories, Terlings Park, Eastwick Road, Harlow, Essex CM20 2QR, U.K.

§ Department of Molecular Pharmacology and Biochemistry, Merck Research Laboratories, Rahway, New Jersey

**Abstract:** The effects of alternative benzyl ether substitution and the introduction of steric constraints on the binding affinity for the hNK<sub>1</sub> receptor of a phenylglycinol based Substance P antagonist is described.

A recent publication from this laboratory has described the design and synthesis of a novel series of acyclic human  $NK_1$  receptor  $(hNK_1R)$  antagonists (eg. 3). This series, the carbon backbone of which originates from phenyl glycinol, was derived from the previously reported<sup>1</sup> benzhydryl substituted quinuclidine ether series by ring fission followed by identification of a replacement for the benzhydryl group (Scheme 1)<sup>2</sup>.

Scheme 1

The fact that the benzhydryl group of the diphenyl-alaninol derived ethers 2 could be replaced by a single phenyl ring with only a modest decrease in binding affinity to the hNK<sub>1</sub> receptor suggests that only one phenyl ring of the benzhydryl group is involved in binding to the receptor, while the other functions as a conformational lock. Furthermore, in the phenyl-glycinol derived series, hNK<sub>1</sub>R binding shows a marked dependence on the chirality of the phenyl-bearing centre, with the (S)-enantiomer showing much higher affinity than the (R)-enantiomer<sup>2</sup>. This is in contrast to the situation in the diphenyl-alaninol series where little or no dependance on the chirality of the benzhydryl-bearing centre is observed<sup>3</sup>. We therefore propose that phenyl-glycinol derived hNK<sub>1</sub>R antagonists 3 are approaching the minimum pharmacophore required for high-affinity binding to the hNK<sub>1</sub>R (vis a vis two optimally substituted aromatic rings connected by an appropriate linking group containing a hydrogen bond acceptor, and a polar substituent (in this series the amine) which projects toward the extracellular fluid). In this communication we describe the effects of changes to the proposed minimum pharmacophore.

Table 1 summarises the effects of varying the substitution in the aromatic ring of the benzyl ether. Since previous work has identified 3,5-di-substitution as being optimal for high affinity hNK<sub>1</sub>R binding in the ether series<sup>1</sup>, this substitution pattern was investigated in detail. All of the compounds shown in Table 1 were prepared by alkylation of N-Boc-phenylglycinol with the appropriate benzyl bromide or chloride (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DMF) followed by N-deprotection using trifluoroacetic acid.

Table 1

It can be seen that in general lipophilic substituents are preferred and that there is a five-fold increase in affinity in moving from 3,5-dimethyl to the 3,5-bis (trifluoromethyl) substitution. This improvement in binding affinity is most pronounced in the phenyl glycinol series since both the quinuclidine ether and the diphenyl alaninol ether series showed little variation in binding affinity between these two substitution patterns.

Having demonstrated that variation in benzyl substitution offers an increase in binding to the hNK<sub>1</sub>R we next sought to further stabilise the bio-active conformation of 9 by introducing methyl groups along the carbon backbone as conformational constraints (Scheme 2). A similar strategy has been adopted in a series of dipeptide NK<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists<sup>5</sup>. The C-1 methyl substituted derivative 13 was prepared by alkylation of the imine 10<sup>6</sup> using lithium diisopropylamide (L.D.A.) and MeI followed by hydrolysis to give 11. Reduction (LiAlH<sub>4</sub>) followed by N-protection provided the alcohol 12 and subsequent ether formation and N-deprotection provided 13 as a racemate. The C-2 substituted diastereomers 17 were prepared by Grignard addition to the Weinreb amide of N-BOC-phenylglycine 14 to yield the ketone 15, followed by reduction and subsequent introduction of the ether moiety as described previously. Introduction of a methyl group at the benzylic centre of 9 was achieved by alkylation of (S)-N-BOC phenyl glycinol with the bromide 19 followed by N-deprotection. The product 20 was obtained as an inseparable 2:1 mixture of diastereomers.

Reagents: (i) L.D.A., MeI, DMF; (ii) 6MHCl; (iii) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>,THF; (iv) (BOC)<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (v) NaH, DMF, 3,5bis(CF<sub>3</sub>)benzyl bromide; (vi) TFA; (vii) MeMgBr, THF; (viii) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, EtOH; (ix) PBr<sub>3</sub>; (x) NaH, (S)-N-BOC-phenylglycinol, DMF.

20

19

## Scheme 2

(ix)

18

The affinities of these methylated derivatives for the  $hNK_1$  receptor are summarized in Table 2:

Table 2

It can be seen that substitution at C-1 is well tolerated but does not improve binding affinity. Introduction of a methyl group at C-2 provides two diastereomers: one of these shows a much reduced affinity at the  $hNK_1$  receptor while the other shows a modest improvement in binding over the unsubstituted compound. An increase in binding affinity is also observed following introduction of a methyl group at the C-4 benzylic centre (eg.Compound **20**, 2:1 mixture of diastereomers at C-4,  $hNK_1$  IC<sub>50</sub> = 4.3nM). These results provide further information to aid refinement of the pharmacophore.

Phenylglycine derivatives such as 9 contain a basic nitrogen  $(pK_a=7.93)^7$ . As may be expected 9 also shows relatively high affinity binding to the L-type calcium channel. Using a similar strategy to that described in the literature for the diphenylalaninyl and piperidine ether series<sup>3,8</sup>, we were able to significantly reduce  $Ca^{2+}$  channel binding affinity whilst retaining high hNK<sub>1</sub>R affinity by incorporation of the polar electron withdrawing carboxamidomethyl substituent on nitrogen. Thus, the secondary amine 22 was synthesised by alkylation of 9 with methyl bromoacetate to give 21 followed by ammonolysis (Scheme 3). The tertiary amine, derivative 25, was prepared by methylation of 23 using NaH and MeI, followed by N-deprotection with TFA to give 24, which was subsequently alkylated (iodoacetamide).

 $\label{eq:Reagents: Net3} \textbf{Reagents:} \ (i) \ \ Methyl \ bromoacetate, \ NEt_3, \ THF; \ (ii) \ NH_3, \ MeOH; \ (iii) \ NaH, \ MeI, \ DMF; \\ \ (iv) \ \ TFA; \ (v) \ \ iodoacetamide, \ (iPr)_2EtN, \ acetonitrile.$ 

## Scheme 3

The  $hNK_1R$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  channel binding data for the carboxamidomethyl derivatives 22 and 25 are summarised in Table 3.

<u>Cpd</u>	<u>R</u>	$\underline{hNK}_{1}\underline{IC}_{50}(nM)^{4}$	Ca <sup>2+</sup> -binding
9	H <sub>2</sub> N-	$13 \pm 4 \text{ (n=4)}$	580nM
22	H <sub>2</sub> NCOCH <sub>2</sub> HN-	8 ± 1	$2.3 \mu M$
25	H <sub>2</sub> NCOCH <sub>2</sub> MeN-	$5.8 \pm 2.2$	>10µM

Table 3

**Acknowledgement:** We thank S.Patel for providing the  $Ca^{2+}$  channel binding data, S. Thomas and R.Herbert for helpful discussion, D. O'Connor for providing pK<sub>a</sub> measurement and S.N. Owen for preparing 8.

## **References and Notes**

- 1. Seward, E.M.; Swain, C.J.; Merchant, K.J.; Owen, S.N.; Sabin, V.; Cascieri, M.A.; Sadowski, S.; Strader, C.; Baker, R. Bio. Med. Chem. Lett. 1993, 3, 1361.
- 2. Swain, C.J.; Cascieri, M.A.; Owens, A.P.; Saari, W.; Sadowski, S.; Strader, C.; Teall, M.; VanNiel, M.B.; Williams, B.J. Bio. Med. Chem. Lett. 1994, 4, 2161.
- 3. Williams, B.J.; Teall, M.; McKenna, J.; Harrison, T.; Swain, C.J.; Cascieri, M.A.; Sadowski, S; Strader, C.; Baker, R. *Bio. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1994**, *4*, 1903.
- 4. The affinities of the compounds for the hNK<sub>1</sub> receptor were determined by displacement of [125I] Substance P from hNK<sub>1</sub> receptor in CHO cells (n=3 for all compounds unless stated; values quoted are mean IC<sub>50</sub> values ± SEM.): see (i) Cascieri, M.A.; Ber, E.; Fong, T.M.; Sadowski, S.; Bansal, A.; Swain, C.J.; Seward, E.; Frances, B.; Burns, D.; Strader, C.D. *Mol. Pharm.* 1992, 42, 458.(ii) Fong, T.M.; Anderson, S.A.; Yuh, H.; Huang, R.R.C.; Strader, C.D. *Mol. Pharm.* 1992, 41, 24.
- 5. Boyle, S.; Guard, S.; Hodgson, J.; Horwell, D.C.; Howson, W.\*; Hughes, J.; McKnight, A.; Martin, K.; Pritchard, M.C.; Watling, K.J.; Woodruff, G.N. *Bio.Med. Chem.* **1994**, 2, 101.
- 6. (i) O'Donnell, M.; Eckrich, T.M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 47, 4625. (ii) O'Donnell, M.J.; Cook, G.K.; Rusterholz, D.B. Synthesis 1991, 11, 989.
- 7. pKa measurements were made using the log P-pH method see: Schaper, K.J. J. Chem. Res., (S) 1979, 357.
- 8. Harrison, T.; Owens, A.P.; Williams, B.J.; Swain, C.J.; Baker, R.; Hutson, P.H.; Sadowski, S.; Cascieri, M.A. *Bio. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1995**, *5*, 209.
- 9. (i) Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel binding was determined using a method similar to that described by: Reynolds, I.; Snowman, A.M.; Snyder, S.H. *J. Pharmac. Exp. Therap.* **1986**, *237*, 731. (ii) All experiments were carried out using diltiazen as a positive control, n=1 for all experiments.